# Towards a common analysis framework for gamma-ray astronomy.

Anneli Schulz, Michael Mayer, Christoph Deil, Jürgen Knödlseder DPG Frühjahrstagung Dresden, 05.03.2013











# Outline

- Current analysis frameworks for Fermi and IACTs
- Common analysis framework motivation and realization
- First examples of combined analyses



#### **Current analysis frameworks**







# Fermi analysis philosophy

Create model describing the emission



Fermi count map 3 years, http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov



# Fermi analysis philosophy – model components

- > Galactic diffuse
- Isotropic diffuse
- Catalogue of known sources
- > Additional source
- Combined likelihood fit







# Fermi analysis philosophy



Picture credit: http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov



# Fermi analysis philosophy





#### **Current analysis frameworks**







# IACT analysis philosophy – reflected region background

#### Pointed observations

- Exclude regions of known sources
- Background spectrum:

No all sky model

from "OFF regions"



## **Common analysis framework**





Anneli Schulz | Towards a common analysis framework for gamma-ray astronomy | 05.03.2013 | Page 10

# FITS – Flexible Image Transport System

## SpiritCitings Blog

Seeing the Spirit at work in the world

#### Return to blog



#### Techonology FITS Vatican Library's preservation needs



Thursday 05, January 2012 - Posted by: Joel Schorn Categories: General, Catholic Culture

Though the Vatican Library is one of the world's oldest—founded in contemporary digital imaging technology to address an ongoing ch and codices, some of which predate the invention of the printing pr years old.



SCANNING manuscripts at the Vatican Library. Photo: Vatican Library

Vatican Libi scanning te accurate, fli reports Inno The effort b and preserv very danger time someo Ammenti, d Information the new pro

But there's computers images? To





## **Common analysis framework**





Anneli Schulz | Towards a common analysis framework for gamma-ray astronomy | 05.03.2013 | Page 12

# Motivation for common analysis framework

- Study of spectral energy distribution over large energy range
- Cross-calibration of the instruments
- Development of analysis framework for CTA





# **Realization for common analysis framework - ctools**

- > Development for CTA lead by J.Knödlseder, IRAP Toulouse
- Based on Gammalib, toolsbox for gamma-ray data
- Similar to ftools / Fermi Science tools
- Implemented in C++, scriptable in Python

Advantages:

- Fermi analysis implemented
- Simultaneous analysis of data from different instruments







# **Example: Crab nebula**

H.E.S.S. data (30 min) in ctools

But with "Fermi-style background modelling"

MAGIC data (30 min) in ctools

But with "Fermi-style background modelling"



## Crab nebula spectrum, H.E.S.S. and MAGIC combined





## Crab nebula spectrum, Fermi and H.E.S.S. combined

> Use one model over five decades in energy, data: 1 year Fermi, 30 min H.E.S.S.





## Crab nebula spectrum, Fermi and H.E.S.S. combined

> Use one model over five decades in energy, data: 1 year Fermi, 30 min H.E.S.S.





Anneli Schulz | Towards a common analysis framework for gamma-ray astronomy | 05.03.2013 | Page 18

## Crab nebula spectrum, Fermi and H.E.S.S. combined

> Use one model over five decades in energy, data: 1 year Fermi, 30 min H.E.S.S.





#### **Summary and outlook**

- Combined analysis framework well on track
- Ctools provide framework to do combined fit of data from various instruments
- > Background determination (reflected regions) for IACT not yet implemented

GEFÖRDERT VOM





# Backup



# Ctools – Crab (H.E.S.S.)



#### **Binned analysis**

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha = 83.619 \pm 0.002 \ (83.633) \\ \delta = 22.025 \pm 0.002 \ (22.015) \\ \Phi_1 = 4.51 \pm 0.18 \ (3.45 \pm 0.05) \\ \Gamma = 2.68 \pm 0.06 \ (2.63 \pm 0.01) \end{array}$ 

#### **Unbinned analysis**

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha = 83.620 \pm 0.002 \ (83.633) \\ \delta = 22.027 \pm 0.002 \ (22.015) \\ \Phi_1 = 4.48 \pm 0.18 \ (3.45 \pm 0.05) \\ \Gamma = 2.68 \pm 0.06 \ (2.63 \pm 0.01) \end{array}$ 



# Ctools – Crab (MAGIC)



#### **Binned analysis**

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha = 83.641 \pm 0.003 \ (83.633) \\ \delta = 22.026 \pm 0.003 \ (22.015) \\ \Phi_{0.3} = 7.73 \pm 0.32 \ (5.7 \pm 0.2) \\ \Gamma = 2.58 \pm 0.05 \ (2.48 \pm 0.03) \end{array}$ 

#### **Unbinned analysis**

 $\alpha = 83.640 \pm 0.003 (83.633)$   $\delta = 22.025 \pm 0.003 (22.015)$   $\Phi_{0.3} = 7.67 \pm 0.32 (5.7 \pm 0.2)$  $\Gamma = 2.60 \pm 0.05 (2.48 \pm 0.03)$ 



## Ctools – Crab (H.E.S.S. and MAGIC combined)



#### **Binned analysis**

$\alpha = 83.625 \pm 0.002 (83.633)$
$\delta = 22.025 \pm 0.002 (22.015)$
$\Phi_1 = 4.09 \pm 0.13 (3.45 \pm 0.05)$
$\Gamma = 2.50 \pm 0.03 (2.63 \pm 0.01)$

#### **Unbinned analysis**

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha = 83.625 \pm 0.002 \ (83.633) \\ \delta = 22.026 \pm 0.002 \ (22.015) \\ \Phi_1 = 4.09 \pm 0.13 \ (3.45 \pm 0.05) \\ \Gamma = 2.49 \pm 0.03 \ (2.63 \pm 0.01) \end{array}$ 



