

## GammaLib - Feature #1079

### Improve GammaLib unit testing experience

01/13/2014 08:36 PM - Deil Christoph

<b>Status:</b>	In Progress	<b>Start date:</b>	01/13/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assigned To:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	10%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			

#### Description

(I think we already discussed this a year or two ago, but I couldn't find an issue for this.)

Currently test-driven development with GammaLib is pretty painful.

(Today Ellis and I tried to make sense of the test failures in #1014 and it was very slow, basically because it was hard to figure out which test\_value calls corresponded to which test error)

When a test error occurs the only info printed to the console is in which GammaLib module the error occurred:

```
...
PASS: test_GApplication
FAIL: test_GModel
PASS: test_GSky
PASS: test_GOptimizer
...
```

The developer then has to find the info at

[https://cta-redmine.irap.omp.eu/projects/gammalib/wiki/Contributing\\_to\\_GammaLib#Running-unit-tests](https://cta-redmine.irap.omp.eu/projects/gammalib/wiki/Contributing_to_GammaLib#Running-unit-tests) to know to open up the test/reports/GModel.xml file, which looks like this:

<https://gist.github.com/cdeil/8406019>

Then he has to parse this XML file to find the <failure> element:

```
<testcase assertions="" classname="GModel" name="Test GModelPar: Test rescaling: Test if 1 is within 1 +/- 1e-10" status=""
time="0" />
<testcase assertions="" classname="GModel" name="Test GModelPar: Test rescaling: Test if 1 is within 42 +/- 1e-10" status=""
time="0">
  <failure message="Value 1 not within 42 +/- 1e-10 (value-expected = -41)." type="" />
</testcase>
<testcase assertions="" classname="GModel" name="Test GModelPar: Test rescaling: Test if 1 is within 1 +/- 1e-10" status=""
time="0" />
```

And then he has to search to test/test\_GModel.cpp file to find the test case corresponding to Test GModelPar: Test rescaling and then try to figure out which test\_value call failed.

(Note: no file name or line number in the XML report file.)

In HESS we are using googletest which provides a much nicer experience.

It tells the developer very clearly on the console where the error occurred and what the problem was, see e.g. this introduction:

<http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/aix/library/au-googletestingframework.html>

Another feature that is super-useful for developers is to be able to select the tests to run:

[https://code.google.com/p/googletest/wiki/AdvancedGuide#Selecting\\_Tests](https://code.google.com/p/googletest/wiki/AdvancedGuide#Selecting_Tests)

My suggestion would be to switch to GoogleTest in GammaLib.

It is feature-complete (e.g. it also contains XML test report output as the GammaLib test classes do) and almost completely bug-free (because it's been tested by millions of users for years) and could e.g. be used for all CTA C++ projects, making test-driven

development of CTA software (including GammaLib / ctools) a pleasure.

:-)

## History

---

### #1 - 01/13/2014 09:56 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

The actual test suite was geared towards continuous integration, not the developers smile.png The actual format is a standard for tools like Jenkins or Sonar, but I agree that it's not very human readable. We may think about an extension or other option for developers.

### #2 - 01/30/2014 12:06 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- % Done changed from 0 to 10

I just found out how to run a single unit test. This is pretty easy:

```
env TESTS="test_python.py" make -e check
```

runs only the Python test. See

[https://cta-redmine.irap.omp.eu/projects/gammalib/wiki/Contributing\\_to\\_GammaLib#Running-only-a-subset-of-the-unit-tests](https://cta-redmine.irap.omp.eu/projects/gammalib/wiki/Contributing_to_GammaLib#Running-only-a-subset-of-the-unit-tests)

### #3 - 01/30/2014 12:06 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Status changed from New to In Progress

### #4 - 04/24/2014 04:12 PM - Deil Christoph

By now I have a lot of experience with GoogleTest for C++ (<https://code.google.com/p/googletest/>) and pytest (<http://pytest.org/latest/>) for Python and I think implementing this would be the best contribution I can make for Gammalib this summer before the 1.0 release.

I think those are the best packages for C++ / Python and using those would be a huge improvement over the current hand-written Gammalib testing framework:

- Small, can be bundled in the repo (no extra dependency)
- Can be used everywhere in CTA (no extra learning effort for devs)
- Many more features (test writing, running, debugging) and better documentation.