

GammaLib - Action #1784

Use of the IRF with different energy bounds with respect to the default

05/31/2016 03:11 PM - Donnarumma Imma

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	05/31/2016
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assigned To:</b>	Knödlseider Jürgen	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	1.1.0		
<b>Description</b> We found that ctobssim does some extrapolation of a given IRF for energy outside the validity range of the IRF itself. This is clearly a problem because the resulting spectra extend to energy bins where we do not expect to have any significance. Is it possible to change the code in order to avoid this kind of extrapolation?  Thanks, Imma & Pere			
<b>Related issues:</b> Related to GammaLib - Bug # 1457: GCTAAeff2D effective area interpolation beh... <span>Closed</span> <span>04/24/2015</span>			

History

#1 - 06/03/2016 12:16 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Project changed from ctools to GammaLib

I propose to limit the effective area response always to the energy (and offset angle) range that is specified by the file, hence no extrapolation should be done beyond the boundaries of valid values. The same should also hold for the background rates.

I move this issue to the GammaLib project as the implementation of this change needs to be done at GammaLib level.

#2 - 06/03/2016 12:16 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Target version set to 1.1.0

#3 - 06/21/2016 10:07 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Related to Bug #1457: GCTAAeff2D effective area interpolation behaves weirdly outside given fits file range added

#4 - 06/21/2016 11:52 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Status changed from New to Closed

The effective area outside the boundaries of the FITS table are now set to zero.

Code has been merged into devel.