

## GammaLib - Bug #2179

### ctools science verification fails

08/22/2017 04:35 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	08/22/2017
<b>Priority:</b>	Urgent	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assigned To:</b>	Knödlseider Jürgen	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	1.4.1		
<b>Description</b>			
The science verification produces since April the following errors:			
<div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Mean -19088.02665 of Pull_Crab_Intensity0 should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Standard deviation 17571.98646 of Pull_Crab_Intensity0 should be within [0.80,1.20] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Mean -4.83094 of Pull_Crab_Intensity1 should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Standard deviation 1.98642 of Pull_Crab_Intensity1 should be within [0.80,1.20] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Mean -37.78501 of Pull_Crab_Intensity2 should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Standard deviation 10.75212 of Pull_Crab_Intensity2 should be within [0.80,1.20] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Mean -660.27252 of Pull_Crab_Intensity3 should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test nodes model: Standard deviation 4405.75126 of Pull_Crab_Intensity3 should be within [0.80,1.20] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test diffuse cube model: Mean -8.34991 of Pull_Crab_Prefactor should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div><div>ctools science verification.Test diffuse cube model: Mean 13.47494 of Pull_Crab_Index should be within [-0.40,0.40] range</div></div>			
The following changes were done in GammaLib and ctools:			
ctools			
<div><div>1</div><div>Add smoothly broken power law to science verification (#1948) (detail)</div><div>2</div><div>Optionally add LO_THRES and HI_THRES keywords to IRF (detail)</div><div>3</div><div>Enhance show_irf.py script (detail)</div><div>4</div><div>Introduce site-dependent energy range in make_pointings.py (detail)</div><div>5</div><div>Support of map cubes lying outside RoI in ctobssim (detail)</div><div>6</div><div>Do not fit smoothness parameter in smoothly broken power law for (detail)</div><div>7</div><div>Add user documentation for smoothly broken power law (detail)</div><div>8</div><div>Add unit test for show_irf.py example script (detail)</div><div>9</div><div>Correct debug message (detail)</div><div>10</div><div>Change show_irf.py so that it is also compliant with older matplotlib (detail)</div></div>			
GammaLib			
<div><div>1</div><div>Fixed issues with the GModelSpectralSmoothBrokenPlaw MC generation (detail)</div><div>2</div><div>Removed old code from GModelSpectralSmoothBrokenPlaw (#1948) (detail)</div><div>3</div><div>Enhance precision of GCTAEdisp2D::compute_ebounds_src (detail)</div><div>4</div><div>Set table boundaries in GCTAEdisp2D before normalizing the table (detail)</div><div>5</div><div>Revert to parallel tests (detail)</div><div>6</div><div>Correct model normalisation in test_model_spectral.py (detail)</div><div>7</div><div>Integrate smoothly broken power law spectrum (detail)</div><div>8</div><div>Correct comments (detail)</div><div>9</div><div>Add user documentation for smoothly broken power law (detail)</div><div>10</div><div>Make GModelSky::mc() method save against invalid energy ranges or model (detail)</div><div>11</div><div>Invert loop in GModelSpatialDiffuseCube::set_mc_cone to speed up (detail)</div><div>12</div><div>Assure that GCTAEdisp2D::mc() method does not block for empty energy (detail)</div><div>13</div><div>Add energy margin in response cube computation (detail)</div></div>			

---

## History

---

### #1 - 08/22/2017 04:36 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Target version changed from 1.5.0 to 1.4.1

### #2 - 08/22/2017 11:14 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Project changed from ctools to GammaLib

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Target version changed from 1.4.1 to 1.4.1

- % Done changed from 0 to 90

It turned out that a bug introduced in `GModelSpectralNodes::mc()` produced that problem. In fact, the case that there is a single node in the MC cache led to an exception instead of using this single node, which is needed for extrapolation. Changing the code as follows solved the problem:

```
if (m_mc_cum.size() > 1) {
    double u = ran.uniform();
    for (inx = m_mc_cum.size()-1; inx > 0; --inx) {
        if (m_mc_cum[inx-1] <= u) {
            break;
        }
    }
}
else if (m_mc_cum.size() == 0) {
    std::string msg = "No valid nodes found for energy interval [" +
        emin.print() + ", " + emax.print() + "]. Either restrict "
        "the energy range to the one covered by the "
        "spectral nodes or extend the spectral nodes "
        "in energy.";
    throw GException::invalid_return_value(G_MC, msg);
}
```

### #3 - 08/23/2017 12:33 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

- % Done changed from 90 to 100

Fixed and merged into devel. A bugfix release 1.4.1 of GammaLib is in preparation.