

ctools - Change request #2671

csspec skipps energy bins with non=0 but noff>0

09/04/2018 04:33 PM - Specovius Andreas

Status:	Closed	Start date:	09/04/2018
Priority:	High	Due date:	
Assigned To:	Knödlseider Jürgen	% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	1.6.0		
Description			
<p>In the current implementation of csspec, energy bins are skipped if the number of observed counts is zero.</p> <p>For the onoff analysis this means that observations with zero on counts are skipped.</p> <p>In case there are >0 off counts, reasonable upper limits may still be produced even if there are no on counts, hence these bins should not be skipped.</p> <p>I would suggest to also check the off spectrum before skipping these bins?</p> <p>(I don't know how much 3d analysis is also affected by that?)</p>			

History

#1 - 09/07/2018 03:55 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- Tracker changed from Bug to Change request
- Assigned To set to Knödlseider Jürgen
- Target version set to 1.6.0

Formally I agree, I added this feature when working on #2312.

Originally the code was:

```
# Perform maximum likelihood fit
like      = ctools.ctlike(obs)
like['edisp'] = self['edisp'].boolean()
like.run()

# Continue only if log-likelihood is non-zero
if like.obs().logL() != 0.0:
```

but I then switched to

```
# Perform maximum likelihood fit. Skip bins that have no events.
like      = ctools.ctlike(obs)
like['edisp'] = self['edisp'].boolean()
if like.obs().nobserved() > 0:
    like.run()

# Continue only if log-likelihood is non-zero
if like.obs().logL() != 0.0 and like.obs().nobserved() > 0:
```

Unfortunately I have no track why exactly I did the change, I think it was to avoid fitting errors. I have no problem with putting this back again, but we should do some testing to check that there are no side effects.

#2 - 09/07/2018 03:58 PM - Knödseder Jürgen

I think now to remember that the difference was having or not having a background model. As you see in #2312 the output in the log file was different, and by adding that code the output became identical (see <https://cta-redmine.irap.omp.eu/issues/2312#note-4>).

#3 - 09/08/2018 10:07 AM - Knödseder Jürgen

- *Status changed from New to Closed*
- *% Done changed from 0 to 100*

I put back the original code.

Code merged into devel.

#4 - 09/08/2018 10:08 AM - Knödseder Jürgen

- *Project changed from GammaLib to ctools*
- *Target version changed from 1.6.0 to 1.6.0*