


ctools - Change request #2685

Improve handling of varying energy thresholds in stacked analysis

09/21/2018 12:07 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

Status:	Closed	Start date:	09/21/2018
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assigned To:	Knödlseider Jürgen	% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	1.6.0		
Description			
When analysing residual spectra of the Crab for the H.E.S.S. data release the following run			
\$ csresspec debug=yes edisp=yes components=yes logfile=resspec_on.log			
Input event list, counts cube, or observation definition XML file [obs_crab_selected.xml]			
Algorithm for defining energy bins (FILE LIN LOG) [LOG]			
Start value for first energy bin in TeV [0.66]			
Stop value for last energy bin in TeV [100.0]			
Number of energy bins (1-200) [40]			
Stack observations? [yes]			
Coordinate System (CEL GAL) [CEL]			
Projection method (AIT AZP CAR GLS MER MOL SFL SIN STG TAN) [CAR]			
First coordinate of image/source region center in degrees (RA or galactic l) (0-360) [83.63]			
Second coordinate of image/source region center in degrees (DEC or galactic b) (-90-90) [22.01]			
Size of the X axis in pixels [200]			
Size of the Y axis in pixels [200]			
Pixel size (deg/pixel) [0.02]			
Input model definition XML file [crab_results.xml]			
Mask data to calculate residuals in ROI? [yes]			
RA for ROI centre (degrees) (0-360) [83.63]			
Dec for ROI centre (degrees) (-90-90) [22.01]			
Radius of ROI (degrees) (0-180) [0.2]			
Input exclusion region file in ds9 format [NONE]			
Residuals computation algorithm (SUB SUBDIV SUBDIVSQRT SIGNIFICANCE) [SIGNIFICANCE]			
Output residual spectrum file [resspec_on.fits]			
led to the following residual spectrum:			



For the second energy bin, running from 0.848 - 1.090 TeV, the Crab model value is well above the measured number of counts. The reason is the following. `ctbin` only fills counts cube bins if they are fully covered by the energy range of the events. The energy thresholds of the four runs being 0.871 TeV, 0.708 TeV, 0.661 TeV and 0.871 TeV the filling of the counts cube bins is as follows:

- Bin 0 (0.66 - 0.848 TeV): no runs
- Bin 1 (0.848 - 1.0904 TeV): runs 2 & 3
- Bin 2 (1.0904 - 1.401 TeV): all runs

The effective area is however for a finer energy binning. Effective area is also given in true energies. All four runs contribute to the effective area of bin 1, since the energy nodes of the effective area matching bin 1 are all above the highest threshold.

Now the counts cube weight as computed by `ctbin` is 1 for the bin 1 at the location of the Crab, hence the Crab model is computed as if all 4 runs were contributing to data. It needs to be checked how the computation should be done correctly, and the code needs to be modified.

History

#1 - 09/21/2018 12:26 PM - Knödseder Jürgen

- File `resspec_on_corrected.png` added

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Assigned To set to Knödseder Jürgen

- Target version set to 1.6.0

I added some code to `ctbin` so that the actual weight of a given pixel is computed by the ratio of the runs that are used divided by the total number of runs. Specifically, in `ctbin::set_weights` the code is now

```
// Loop over all energy layers of counts cube
for (int iebin = 0; iebin < m_ebounds.size(); ++iebin){
```

```
    // Add livetime to weight sum before
    #pragma omp critical(ctbin_set_weights)
    m_weights_sum(pixel, iebin) += livetime;
```

```
    // Skip energy layer if the usage flag is false
    if (!usage[iebin]) {
        continue;
    }
```


```
    // Add livetime to weight
    #pragma omp critical(ctbin_set_weights)
    m_weights(pixel, iebin) += livetime;
```

where the weight of a bin is computed from the livetime (so the actual weight value is the ratio of use livetime with respect to total livetime of all runs for that pixel).

The normalization is then done in `ctbin::run`:

```
// Normalize weight array by weight sum
for (int pixel = 0; pixel < m_counts.npix(); ++pixel) {
    for (int iebin = 0; iebin < m_ebounds.size(); ++iebin){
        if (m_weights_sum(pixel, iebin) > 0.0) {
            m_weights(pixel, iebin) /= m_weights_sum(pixel, iebin);
        }
    }
}
```

It looks like the residuals are now okay:



#2 - 09/21/2018 12:27 PM - Knödlseeder Jürgen

- % Done changed from 0 to 50

#3 - 09/21/2018 03:04 PM - Knödlseeder Jürgen

- File resspec_5nodes.png added

However when the integration is done over the full ROI, there is now problem:

The issue is that the new weighting scheme is appropriate for the signal that is convolved with the IRF, but not for the background that is not convolved with the IRF.

#4 - 09/21/2018 03:12 PM - Knödlseeder Jürgen

This looks like a fundamental issue. In GObservation::likelihood_poisson_binned there is the following code:

```
// Get model and derivative
double model = this->model(models, *bin, &wrk_grad);

// Multiply model by bin size
model *= bin->size();
```

The bin weighting is done in the size() method. The model variable combines IRF and background models, hence there is for the moment no other option that "unweighting" the background model so that weighting recovers the original value.

#5 - 09/21/2018 04:18 PM - Knödlseeder Jürgen


- File resspec_new1.png added



- File resspec_on_new1.png added

- % Done changed from 50 to 80

I made all the necessary changes. Now in ctbkgcube the background cube response is unweighted before storing. To make all things work I needed to modify also obsutils so that a counts cube is passed to ctbkgcube in the response generation.

Here are now the residuals for the full data space (left) and the On region around the Crab (right). In both cases there are no longer threshold effects visible.





#6 - 12/12/2018 02:16 PM - Knödseder Jürgen

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

- % Done changed from 80 to 100

Files

resspec_on.png	34.6 KB	09/21/2018	Knödseder Jürgen
resspec_on_corrected.png	35.4 KB	09/21/2018	Knödseder Jürgen
resspec_5nodes.png	35.7 KB	09/21/2018	Knödseder Jürgen
resspec_new1.png	35.3 KB	09/21/2018	Knödseder Jürgen
resspec_on_new1.png	35.6 KB	09/21/2018	Knödseder Jürgen