

ctools - Bug #3542

cssens bugs

02/17/2021 05:19 PM - Sadeh Iftach

Status:	Closed	Start date:	02/17/2021
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assigned To:		% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	2.0.0		
Description			
Hello,			
Here are a couple of issues I've run into with cssens			
1. too-low values:			
In https://github.com/ctools/ctools/blob/devel/cscripts/cssens.py#L387			
I've run into errors of the kind:			
<pre>File "cssens.py", line 754, in run result = self._e_bin(ieng) File "cssens.py", line 693, in _e_bin result = self._get_sensitivity(emin, emax, self._models) File "cssens.py", line 401, in _get_sensitivity prefactor.value(crab_prefactor * test_crab_flux) File</pre>			
<pre> return _opt.GOptimizerPar_value(self, *args) ValueError: *** ERROR in GOptimizerPar::factor_value(double&): Invalid argument. Specified value factor 9.999999999999999e-11 is smaller than the minimum boundary 1e-10.</pre>			
I suggest something like:			
<pre>min_pref = prefactor.min() val_now = crab_prefactor * test_crab_flux val_now = max(val_now, min_pref * 1.01) prefactor.value(val_now)</pre>			
1. division by zero			
In https://github.com/ctools/ctools/blob/devel/cscripts/cssens.py#L597			
I've encountered division by zero errors.			
I suggest something like:			
<pre>rxnorm = (mean_xx - mean_x * mean_x) * (mean_yy - mean_y * mean_y) if rxnorm < 1e-10: rxnorm = 1 else: rxnorm = math.sqrt(rxnorm)</pre>			
<pre>rx = (mean_xy - mean_x * mean_y) / rxnorm</pre>			

History

#1 - 02/17/2021 06:25 PM - Sadeh Iftach

PS

These extremely low values for the prefactor correspond eventually to completely unrealistic sensitivities:

	loge	emin	emax	crab_flux	photon_flux	energy_flux	sensitivity	regcoeff	nevents	npred
0	-0.200171	0.501	0.794	0.000454	1.212797e-14	1.204168e-14	2.609276e-14	0.23334	22.0	21.999989

where the calculation was done for a 10 second exposure!

This seems related to using a background model based on:

```
<source_library title="source library">
  <source name="CTABackgroundModel" type="CTAIfBackground" instrument="CTA">
    <spectrum type="PowerLaw">
      <parameter name="Prefactor" value="1" error="0" scale="1" min="0.001" max="1000" free="1" />
      <parameter name="Index" value="0" error="0" scale="1" min="-5" max="0" free="1" />
      <parameter name="PivotEnergy" value="1" scale="1000000" min="0.01" max="1000" free="0" />
    </spectrum>
  </source>
  <source name="source_00047513" type="PointSource" tscal="1">
    <spectrum type="PowerLaw">
      <parameter name="Prefactor" value="1" error="0" scale="1e-16" min="1e-10" max="10000000000" free="1" />
      <parameter name="Index" value="-2.5" scale="1" min="-5" max="0" free="0" />
      <parameter name="PivotEnergy" value="1" scale="1000000" min="0.001" max="1000" free="0" />
    </spectrum>
    <spatialModel type="PointSource">
      <parameter name="RA" value="265.97" scale="1" min="-360" max="360" free="0" />
      <parameter name="DEC" value="-29.38" scale="1" min="-90" max="90" free="0" />
    </spatialModel>
  </source>
  <source name="merged_mapcube_models" type="DiffuseSource">
    <spectrum type="PowerLaw">
      <parameter name="Prefactor" value="1" scale="1" min="1e-09" max="1000000000" free="1" />
      <parameter name="Index" value="0" scale="1" min="-5" max="0" free="1" />
      <parameter name="PivotEnergy" value="1" scale="1000000" min="0.1" max="10" free="0" />
    </spectrum>
    <spatialModel type="DiffuseMapCube" file="output/sense_0/source_00047513/source_00047513_pl_0_merged_mapcube_models.fits">
      <parameter name="Normalization" value="1" scale="1" min="1e-09" max="1000000000" free="0" />
    </spatialModel>
  </source>
</source_library>
```

and includes a DiffuseMapCube

I've tried using the same model, but not allowing the merged_mapcube_models background parameters to be fit. (Only CTABackgroundModel and the test source, source_00047513, have free parameters.) In this case, I get what looks like the expected answer, of $\sim 10^{-10}$ sensitivity for 10sec:

	loge	emin	emax	crab_flux	photon_flux	energy_flux \
0	-0.200171	0.501	0.794	1.812952	4.845686e-11	4.811212e-11
1	-0.000077	0.794	1.259	2.237868	3.028069e-11	4.765867e-11
2	0.199984	1.259	1.995	2.639513	1.803496e-11	4.499607e-11

	sensitivity	regcoeff	nevents	npred
0	1.042527e-10	0.970945	35.0	30.346305
1	1.031549e-10	0.946488	26.0	19.374530
2	9.753276e-11	0.978457	20.0	14.034580

So wither something is be going on with the DiffuseMapCube, or the inclusion of multiple background models on it's own results in too much complexity for the fit.

#2 - 05/07/2021 11:03 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- *Target version set to 2.0.0*

Iftach, if you want to propose some code to get merged in ctools please go ahead with coding and testing and making a pull request.

#3 - 05/28/2021 03:48 AM - Knödlseider Jürgen

As far as I can see, the corresponding code has now be integrated. Iftach, can you confirm that this issue is done?

#4 - 05/31/2021 05:11 PM - Sadeh Iftach

- *Status changed from New to Resolved*

- *% Done changed from 0 to 100*

#5 - 03/01/2022 05:41 PM - Knödlseider Jürgen

- *Status changed from Resolved to Closed*