## GammaLib - Action #3625

# Implement a more efficient Monte-Carlo sampler for exponentially and super-exponentially cut-off power laws

04/27/2021 11:16 PM - Knödlseder Jürgen

Status: Closed Start date: 04/27/2021 **Priority:** Normal Due date: Assigned To: Knödlseder Jürgen % Done: 100% Category: **Estimated time:** 0.00 hour Target version: 1.7.4

## Description

As reported in #3624, the super-exponentially cut-off power law Monte Carlo sampler is not very efficient when sampling deeply in the exponentially cut-off part of the power law. A more efficient sampler should be implemented to speed-up the computations.

## Related issues:

Related to ctools - Bug # 3624: bug on esspec with Composite model Closed 04/27/2021

#### History

### #1 - 04/27/2021 11:17 PM - Knödlseder Jürgen

- Related to Bug #3624: bug on csspec with Composite model added

## #2 - 04/27/2021 11:59 PM - Knödlseder Jürgen

- File mc-superexp-after-10000.png added
- File mc-superexp-before-10000.png added
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assigned To set to Knödlseder Jürgen
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

The Monte Carlo sampling in GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc is done using a rejection method that draws random energies for a power law and compares then the super-exponential cut-off model to the power law to decide whether to keep or to reject the energy. Since for the first energy bins the sampling is done deeply in the cut-off of the model, the energy is very often rejected before being accepted. Below some debugging of the Monte Carlo method, where samples indicates the number of random energies that are drawn before one is accepted. This number can go up to millions!


GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=35.7830766905839 GeV samples=786164 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=40.3034500835591 GeV samples=345242 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=46.6816418047913 GeV samples=116586 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=36.3483683005087 GeV samples=284844 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=37.2933148694958 GeV samples=170091 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=31.566807167513 GeV samples=71186 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=31.0769872610411 GeV samples=159230 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=31.3044072005203 GeV samples=45231 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=37.9303234539904 GeV samples=324109 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=37.9303234539904 GeV samples=324109 GModelSpectralSuperExpPlaw::mc(30 GeV,72.3259983091833 GeV,0 s (TT)): energy=45.909979097982 GeV samples=6397

I implemented a speed-up by simply re-normalising the random number generator used for rejection:

```
double acceptance_fraction;
double inv_ecut = 1.0 / m_ecut.value();
double norm_emin = std::exp(- std::pow(emin.MeV() * inv_ecut, m_index2.value()));
double norm_emax = std::exp(- std::pow(emax.MeV() * inv_ecut, m_index2.value()));
double norm = (norm_emin > norm_emax) ? norm_emin : norm_emax;
do {
    ...
    acceptance_fraction = std::exp(- std::pow(eng * inv_ecut, m_index2.value()));
} while (ran.uniform() * norm > acceptance_fraction);
```

04/27/2024 1/3

That this works is illustrated in the figures below, that show on the left the old results and on the rights the results after implementing the sampling with improved efficiency. The red line indicates the analytical model, the blue histogram the sampled energies.



- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

#7 - 04/29/2021 06:04 PM WKnödlseder Jürgen

- % Done changed from 70 to 100

The code was merged into bugfix release 1.7.4 and devel. Close issue now.

04/27/2024 2/3

## **Files**

mc-superexp-after-10000.png	45.3 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-superexp-before-10000.png	45.6 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-superexp-after-positive.png	41 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-exp-after-10000.png	42.4 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-exp-before-10000.png	42.8 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-exp-positive-10000.png	42.1 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-invexp-after-10000.png	42.4 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-invexp-before-10000.png	42.8 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen
mc-invexp-positive-10000.png	40.7 KB	04/27/2021	Knödlseder Jürgen

04/27/2024 3/3